## **Chung-Che Chou**

cechou@ntu.edu.tw

**Chung-Che Chou** is an associate professor in the Department of Civil Engineering at the National Taiwan University. He is also an associate research fellow at the National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering. He received his Ph.D. degree in Structural Engineering from the University of California, San Diego in 2001. He was also an assistant project



scientist at UC San Diego from 2001-2003 for conducting research on the seismic performance of the New San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge. He was a faculty member in the Department of Civil Engineering at the National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan during 2003-2008. Dr. Chou research interests include earthquake engineering, seismic design and rehabilitation of steel and composite structures, post-tensioned self-centering structures, fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) structures, and large-scale testing of structures.

## **Chung-Che Chou**

<u>cechou@ntu.edu.tw</u> Associate Professor Department of Civil Engineering National Taiwan University Taipei 10617, Taiwan

## Recent Development of Post-Tensioned Self-Centering Structures for Earthquake Resistance

A post-tensioned (PT) self-centering (SC) structure that uses post-tensioning steel to compress beams against columns or bridge column segments against a footing has been developed as an alternative to a traditional earthquake-resisting system. The approach in seismic design, developed under the U.S. PRESSS program for precast concrete buildings with the SC connections, was verified from a 3/5 scale five-story SC concrete test-building (Priestley 1991, Pampanin et al. 2000). The SC behavior of the test-building was extremely satisfactory without significant strength loss up to drift levels of 4.5%. This posttensioning technology was successfully extended to steel moment-resisting frames (MRFs) by several connection tests (Ricles et al. 2002, Christopoulos et al. 2002). The lateral deformation of the PT frame leads to the opening of the gap at beam-to-column interfaces, so the compression force in the PT beam is affected by the column and slab restraints that oppose the frame expansion. These two issues become sources to hinder the SC behavior expected for this system.

Various conceptual proposals have been made along this line. Recently, Chou et al. (2008) experimentally showed that the PT connection with a continuous composite slab self-centers with low residual deformations as long as the metal deck separates along the column lines and negative connection moments provided by the slab reinforcements are considered in design. Chou et al. (2009) also demonstrated similar cyclic responses between a bare PT connection and a composite PT connection with a fully discontinuous composite slab, which opens freely along the beam-to-column interface. By adopting a concept of the rigid bay to transfer floor inertia forces to the PT frame and accommodate PT frame expansion (Garlock et al. 2006), shake table tests of a 3-dimensional PT frame with a sliding slab demonstrated the SC seismic response and small residual drift of the specimen frame in earthquake

loadings (Chou and Chen 2009).

Kim and Christopoulos (2008) outlined the column restraining effect and suggested a pinned boundary condition for upper story columns to estimate column bending stiffness. The assumption of pinned boundary conditions represents a simplified estimate that represents an upper bound of this restraining effect and was suggested to account for the worst case scenario where a structure responds with a high drift at one floor while the drifts in the floors above and below are almost zero. Note that when the structure responds in its first mode shape (common seismic response for regular low-to-medium rise buildings) where all stories have comparable drifts, the restraining effect might be greatly reduced because the columns are pushed out at all floors simultaneously. Therefore, the previously approximate approach is overly conservative in cases where the structure responds in its first mode. Chou and Chen (2009) presented an alternative method for evaluating bending stiffness of the columns and compression forces in the beams based on a deformed column shape that matches the gap-opening at each beam-to-column interface. This method was verified analytically and experimentally through a full-scale one-story PT test-frame (Chou and Chen 2010).

It is easier to apply the posttensioning technology to bridge columns than buildings due to lack of restraints from the superstructure. In the past few years, research activities on the seismic responses of concrete segmental columns have been carried out in the U.S. and in other countries (Billington et al. 1999, Chang et a. 2002, Hewes and Priestley 2002, Chou and Chen 2006, Chou and Hsu 2008, Ou et al. 2007). Several test and analytical results of the PT segmental columns demonstrated the SC capability and good energy dissipation, but the application of this system in high seismic areas is still limited.

## References

Billington SL, Barnes RW, Breen JE. A precast segmental substructure system for standard bridges. *PCI J.* 1999, 44(4): 56–73.

Christopoulos C, Filiatrault A, Uang CM, and Folz B. Posttensioned energy dissipating connections for moment-resisting steel frames. *J. Structural Engineering*, ASCE 2002; 128(9): 1111–1120.

Chang KC, Loh CH, Chiu HS, Hwang JS, Cheng CB, Wang JC. Seismic behavior of precast segmental bridge columns and design methodology for applications in Taiwan. Area National Expressway Engineering Bureau, Taipei, Taiwan, 2002 (in Chinese).

Chou CC, Chen YC. Cyclic tests of post-tensioned precast CFT segmental bridge columns with unbonded strands. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 2006, 35, pp. 159-175.

Chou CC, Hsu CP. Hysteretic model development and seismic response of unbonded post-tensioned precast CFT segmental bridge columns. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 2008, 37, 919-934.

Chou CC, Weng CY, Chen JH. Seismic design and behavior of post-tensioned connections including effects of a composite slab. *Engineering Structures,* 2008; 30: 3014-3023.

Chou CC, Tsai KC, Yang WC. Self-centering steel connections with steel bars and a discontinuous composite slab. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 2009; 38(4): 403-422.

Chou CC, Chen JH. Column restraint in post-tensioned self-centering moment frames. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 2009 (available online 2009/11).

Chou CC, Chen JH. Shake table tests of a steel post-tensioned self-centering moment frame with a composite slab accommodating frame expansion. *Proceedings of 5th International Symposium on Steel Structures*, Seoul, Korea. 2009.

Chou CC, Chen JH. Tests and analyses of a full-scale post-tensioned RCS frame subassembly. *J. Constructional Steel Research* (accepted for publication, 2010/4).

Garlock MM, Liu J, and King A. Construction details for self-centering moment resisting frame floor diaphragms. *U.S. Taiwan Workshop on Self-Centering Structural Systems*, Taipei, 2006.

Hewes JT, Priestley MJN. Seismic design and performance of precast concrete segmental bridge columns. *Report No. SSRP 2001/25*. University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 2002.

Kim HJ, Christopoulos C. Seismic design procedure and seismic response of post-tensioned self-centering steel frames. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics* 2008, 38 (3), 355-376.

Ou YC, Chiewanichakorn M, Aref AJ, and Lee GC. Seismic performance of segmental precast unbonded posttensioned concrete bridge columns. *J. Struct. Eng.*, ASCE. 2007; 133(11): 1636-1647.

Priestley MJN. Overview of the PRESSS research program. PCI J., 1991, 36, 50-57.

Pampanin S, Priestley MJN, Sritharan S. PRESSS Phase 3: the five-story precast test building-frame direction response. *Report No. SSRP 2000/08*, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 2000.

Ricles JM, Sause R, Peng SW, and Lu LW. Experimental evaluation of earthquake resistant posttensioned steel connections. *J. Structural Engineering*, ASCE 2002; 128(7): 850–859.